

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,678	01/20/2004	Andrew J. Ouderkirk	58388US004	5265	
33692 7590 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LOUIE, W	LOUIE, WAI SING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/762.678 OUDERKIRK ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Wai-Sing Louie 2814 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/762,678

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

The argument in the appeal brief is persuasive and the finality of previous rejection is withdrawn. A new ground of rejection is as below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin et al. (US 6,864,554).

With regard to claims 1-2, Lin et al. disclose an optoelectronic device with reflective surface (col. 6, line 60 et seq. and fig. 5), comprising:

- An LED 21 capable of emitting light (col. 7, line 31);
- A layer of phosphor 233 positioned to receive light and emitting visible light when illuminated with excitation light (col. 8, lines 10-21);
- Interference reflector means 46 for reflecting at least some light emitted by the
 LED 21 that has not passed through the layer of phosphor material 233, onto the
 layer of phosphor material 233 and transmitting at least some visible light emitted
 by the phosphor 233 (col. 8, lines 53-65 and fig. 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/762,678
Art Unit: 2814

With regard to claims 3-4, in addition to the limitations disclosed in claims 1-2 above, Lin et al. disclose the layer of phosphor 233 has a major surface from which light is emitted toward an output end and the reflectors 46 and 232 reflect light emitted by the LED and substantially transmit light emitted by the phosphor material 233 (fig. 5).

With regard to claim 5, Lin et al. disclose the reflector 232 has a planar shape (fig. 4).

With regard to claims 6-7, Lin et al. disclose the reflector 232 has a non-planar shape is substantially an ellipsoid, where the LED 21 and the layer of phosphor material 233 are disposed at foci of the ellipsoid (fig. 6).

With regard to claim 8, Lin et al. disclose a first portion of the light emitted by the LED 21 is reflected by the reflector 232 onto a major surface of the layer of phosphor 233, and a second portion of the light emitted by the LED 21 impinges by reflector 46 on a second major surface of the layer of phosphor 233 opposed to the first major surface (fig. 5).

With regard to claim 9, Lin et al. disclose the reflector 232 has a shape of a surface of revolution (fig. 3 and 5).

With regard to claim 10, Lin et al. disclose the layer of phosphor surrounds the LED 21 (fig. 9).

With regard to claim 11, Lin et al. disclose the layer of phosphor material 233 is segmented into distinct color region (col. 8, lines 10-21).

With regard to claim 12, Lin et al. disclose the layer of phosphor material 233 is coplanar with the LED 21 (fig. 9).

With regard to claim 13, Lin et al. disclose the layer of phosphor material 233 is not coplanar with the LED 21 (fig. 5). Application/Control Number: 10/762,678

Art Unit: 2814

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US 6,864,554).

With regard to claims 14-15, Lin et al. do not disclose the layer of phosphor material 233 is a discontinuous layer of phosphor material and have a plurality of lines of phosphor material. However, weather the layer of phosphor material 233 is one solid piece or discontinuous pieces is considered as a change in shape of a device. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. MPEP 2144.04.

Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US 6,864,554) in view of Johnson et al. (US 6,414,442).

With regard to claim 16, Lin et al. do not disclose the phosphorus material comprises a plurality of dots of phosphor material. However, Johnson et al. discloses a layer of phosphor 102, where the phosphor material is arranged in a plurality of dots (Johnson col. 3, lines 48-51).

Johnson et al. teach using the phosphor dots is less sensitive to misalignment and yield a more durable image device without high cost (Johnson col. 1, lines 51-60). Therefore, it would have

Application/Control Number: 10/762,678

Art Unit: 2814

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lin's device with the teaching of

Johnson et al. to use the phosphor dots instead of a layer of phosphor material in order to have
less misalignment and yield a more durable image device.

With regard to claim 17, Lin et al. modified by Johnson et al. do not disclose the dots have an area of less than 10000 µm². However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any suitable sizes for the phosphor dots, because it has been held that where the general conditions of the claims are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable range by routine experimentation. See In re Alner, 220 F. 2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

With regard to claims 18-19, Lin et al. modified by Johnson et al. disclose the plurality of dots of phosphor material that emits more than one color (blue, red, and green) when illuminated with the excitation light (Johnson col. 2, line 54).

With regard to claim 20, Lin et al. disclose the reflective layer 46 is epoxy resin coating (col. 8, lines 53-65). Although, it is not a two layers of thermoplastic polymer, however, the epoxy resin coating can function in the same manner, or be used in the same manner. See in re Pearson, Ex parte Minks, and In re Swinehart.

With regard to claim 21, Lin et al. modified by Johnson et al. disclose a three (blue, red, and green) color phosphor dots. The blue dots emit a first wavelength and the green dots emit a second wavelength different than the first wavelength (Johnson col. 2, lines 51-64).

Art Unit: 2814

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Wai-Sing Louie whose telephone number is 571-272-1709. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wai-Sing Louie/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814